

## An Analysis of Linguistic Interference by Students of the French Language in Three (3) Nigerian Colleges of Education

SHITTU MURITALA ADEWALE  
Department of French  
School of Secondary Education  
(Languages Programme)  
Federal College of Education (special)  
Oyo

&

AWONUSI ABIODUN MAKINDE  
Department of French  
School of Secondary Education  
(Languages Programme)  
Federal College  
Osiele ,Abeokuta ,Ogun State

### Abstract

*This research work is in the domain of language acquisition and more particularly foreign language learning using the theoretical framework of Error Analysis but also taking into account the concept of the development of Inter-language during the learning of a foreign language. We consider how learners of French as a Foreign Language in the Federal College of Education (special) oyo, Alvan Ikoku College OF Education Owerri, Federal College of Education, Katsina all in Nigeria seem to fall, either consciously or unconsciously, on the English Language during their oral and written productions. For this study, first and second year students of French from the in college were given a series of images and asked either to describe what they saw and/or to use the images to tell a story or form a dialogue. It was observed that there was evidence of English structures, transpositions, transliterations, etc. in their productions. We consider that these interferences are part of the development of the Inter-language of the learners and we examine some of the types of errors identified in the production of the students. We conclude that this is a natural process for the learner of a foreign language in a multilingual context and we consider how the teacher of a foreign language class can take advantage of these productions to improve his teaching and also improve learning by his/her students.*

### Key Words:

*Error analysis, transference, Foreign Language Learning, Inter-language, English, French*

### Introduction

It is generally agreed that a foreign language learner does not enter the language classroom one day and come out the next as a near-native speaker. There is a developmental process which takes place over a long period of time and during this process, the learner may write or speak the language in a manner that is not considered 'authentic' by the native speaker. As

time goes on and as the learner progresses in learning the language, the errors gradually reduce until the learner acquires near-native perfection. This transition between starting to learn the language and acquiring native-speaker proficiency is what is termed the Inter-language. According to Atoyebi (2009, p 67), Inter-language demonstrates intermediate stages of L2 development. This inter-language may be viewed either positively or

negatively especially as there is usually the question of language transfer from the L1 with positive transfer being considered to aid language learning and negative transfer being considered a bane to acquiring the second language.

Research into learner errors in foreign language learning and into language transfer by foreign language learners has greatly developed since the 1990s with the works of Dada (1999 p, 54) who focused on the notion of inter-language and who pointed out that L2 learners do not produce random mistakes and that their errors seem to be governed by certain rules linked to the underlying grammars of the learners. Their conclusion that language transfer is an integral part of L2 learning has been corroborated in more recent times by Adewale (1997 p,93), Oladeji (2011). Indeed, past research also indicates that in a given situation, there are differences between the production of a learner and that of a native speaker when they attempt to convey the same meaning in the target language (Dada, (1999).

The purpose of this paper is to present some errors discovered in the oral and written production of students of some first and second year students of French as a Foreign Language at the Federal College of Education (special) oyo, Alvan ikoku college of education and federal college of education in katsina all in Nigeria ; discuss what these errors reveal about transference and the development of Inter-language; and find out how the Foreign Language teacher can take into account these errors in the classroom to make

learning the foreign language more productive. However, we will first consider the unique context of studying French in Nigeria and the role of the English language and other languages spoken in Nigeria.

### **The status of the French language in Nigeria**

The Ministry of Education, together with the French Embassy, has been supporting the teaching of French in Nigeria since the 1990s . The French language has the status of being a Foreign language which is taught as a subject in schools from the Junior secondary School Level even though some private schools introduce it earlier – sometimes as early as Nursery . The Government acknowledges the importance of learning the French language and the Government White Paper of the Education Reform Review Committee (Oct 1996) emphasized the Government's intention to ensure that French became a compulsory subject at the Senior secondary School level and the efforts being made to ensure that the Junior secondary School curriculum prepares students to meet this benchmark. Indeed, the Paper states that: It is the decision of government that apart from assuring primary tongue proficiency in English by the end of Primary school, the study of French to working standard --- will also become compulsory in higher level of education .... and the Junior secondary School curriculum should accordingly work up to that policy (NPE, 2007, p. 30).

As of now, in practice, the French language can be chosen as

an elective subject in the Senior secondary School after which the students can further their study of the language either in the university, training college, or some other institution of higher learning. In the wake of the desire for regional integration on an economic, political and social level, and, considering the fact that Nigeria is surrounded by francophone countries, successive governments have expressed the desire for promoting and developing the teaching or learning of French in the basic and secondary schools as evidenced from the documents cited above.

### **The Role of the English Language in Nigeria,**

Like other African countries, is a multilingual society with a majority of the people speaking at least two languages. Especially in the urban areas, most people may speak a local language which may be considered a Primary Language or L1 as well as a vernacular language which is widely spoken in that area. Due to intermarriages between couples of different ethnic groups, some children, born into mixed linguistic families are either exposed to both the language of the father and mother (if both parents speak each other's languages), to one of the 'parental' languages (if one partner understands the language of the other but it is not reciprocal), or to a third 'neutral' language which serves as a point of communication between the two parents. In some cases, especially in Nigeria, this neutral language tends to be the English language which has a particular status in the Nigerian society. English serves as

a mediator language and children born into these families end up being exposed to English as a first language (even if it is not a mother tongue per se).

In Nigeria, the English language has the status of being the official language. It is the language of education, media, the judiciary and the legislature. The language policy in Nigeria has been changed from having the first three years of basic education to be carried out in the local languages to using English only right from Primary 1 Fafunwa (2000). In the urban areas, children are taught in English sometimes right from crèche. For this reason, some children whose parents speak English to them in the house and who are also exposed to English right from an early age may be considered as having English as their L1 or their Primary Language as they speak this more fluently than the local language of the tribe or ethnic group of their parents. The English language also has the status, in Nigeria, of being the main medium of official communication in a multilingual society.

### **The importance of English in the foreign language classroom in Nigeria**

Considering the fact that the foreign language classroom is a place of languages in contact and in context, it is not surprising that we often find more than one language at play – the language(s) the learner already speaks and the language that is being acquired. In this paper, we posit that learners of French in the 3 colleges used for this study to draw a lot from their competence in

the English language rather than from the local languages they speak. This may be due to several factors including the fact that they develop a meta-language for English that they may not necessarily have for their local languages. The basic structures of language are typically learnt in English more than in the local languages. Thus, while a pupil or student may be able to easily identify a noun, verb, adjective and so on, in English, he or she may not be able to do the same for his or her indigenous language.

Also, some teachers of the French language rely on the English language to teach or explain certain points to the learners. Lastly, most of the available bilingual material for teaching and learning French are in English and French rather than in an indigenous language and French. For example, we have access to English-French dictionaries, manuals in English and French, audio materials in English and French etc. For this reason, the learner is also most likely to draw from English when there is a challenge with expressing himself/herself in French.

This notion seems to be supported by our data since the instances of interference and transfers observed are from the English language rather than from the indigenous languages. For these reasons, we will systematically refer to the English language in this paper as the Source Language (SL) and French as the Target Language (TL). Where we refer to or quote an author, L1 should be understood as being the SL and L2 as being the Target Language (TL).

### **Inter-language theory**

Developed by Selinker in the 1970s, Inter-language theory is a branch of applied linguistics, and more particularly, language learning. In a nutshell, the theory posits that imperfect foreign language production by a learner gives rise to an intermediate language system which is a sort of 'third language' placed on a gradient between the two 'true' languages, i.e. the source language and the target language.

According to Oladeji(1997), Inter-language refers to a systematic development of learner language reflecting a mental system of L2 knowledge. It involves the construction of a system of abstract linguistic rules by the learner. Such inter-lingual transfer is demonstrated in the types of errors committed by learners at either a phonological, morphological, grammatical, lexical or semantic level with the learner transferring such elements from the source language into the target language. When it comes to adult foreign language learning, which is the focus of this study, it has been suggested that an adult foreign language learner encounters competition between the new concepts with which he is confronted and the existing concepts from the L1. This can lead to restructurings in the L1 and in the L2 (Dewaele, 2002;137) These restructurings are considered to be errors. It is important to draw the distinction between a mistake and an error when it comes to foreign language teaching and learning

because the two are not interchangeable.

The *Dictionary of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics* (1992) describes mistakes in writing or speaking as being due to lack of attention, fatigue, carelessness, or some other aspects of performance. These can be self-corrected when the speaker becomes aware of them. On the other hand, an error implies using a linguistic item in a way that a fluent or native speaker regards as demonstrating faulty or incomplete learning. In other words, an error occurs because the learner does not know what is correct, and therefore there can be no self-correction in this case, whereas there can be self-correction in the case of a mistake. In error analysis, these errors are not regarded as the result of persistent old habits. They should rather be seen as signs that the learner is going through a process of internalization and investigation of the new language's system. Interlingual errors may occur at different levels such as transfer of phonological, morphological, grammatical and lexico-semantic elements of the native language into the target language.

Unfortunately, it has been observed that errors in the foreign language classroom, especially in our socio-cultural context, are frowned upon and sanctioned without taking into consideration the fact that it is a natural process of the language learning process and that the errors made by students could actually guide the teacher to prepare students to adequately cope with their difficulties. According to Dada (1999, p.202) "L2 speakers

spend a lot of time and effort struggling with language difficulties yet L2 courses do not generally prepare students to cope with performance problems". For this reason, it is necessary to study the errors made by students which can serve in (i) identifying strategies used by language learners (ii) identifying the causes of learners' errors (iii) obtaining information on common difficulties in language learning to aid in teaching or in developing teaching materials Atoyebi (2009 p.216). We posit that it is only when a foreign language teacher understands the causes and reasons for the errors made by the learner that he or she can effectively teach the students to avoid such errors or remedy their linguistic inadequacies. It is for this reason that it is necessary to undertake error analysis as it enables researchers to focus on the errors made by a foreign language learner with emphasis on the significance of these errors for teaching and learning.

### **Learning French in a multilingual African context**

Most of the research available in the field considered situations of bilingualism in which a learner is learning a foreign language in a western context in which there is just one source language and one target language. Even in cases where there is mention of multilingualism, the learner is still dealing with languages for which the learner has developed meta-language. However, when it comes to learning English or French which may either have the status of a second language or a foreign

language taught in school, the approach is different. Usually, the language is learnt in an exo-glotic context and usually, the learner does not get exposed to the language outside the classroom. As Adewale (2008) explains, French is not used as a means of communication once the students get outside the classroom. Also, a majority of students learning French in the Nigerian context have not acquired the linguistic fundamentals, either in the indigenous languages or in English before they begin to learn French. Adepoju (1997 p.52) hypothesizes that difficulties in learning the French language in a multilingual, non-francophone community may be due to three main reasons:

- i i. Mixing up internalized structures, especially as the students usually turn to their first language or the English language to conceptualize grammatical and lexical structures in French.
- ii ii. The languages already acquired become a source of blockage for the students, mainly because the students have not mastered these languages especially when it comes to the written aspect.
- iii iii. The third hypothesis is that one of the causes of transfer errors discovered in the production of students is due to the differences in structure at the level of phonetic/phonology, morphosyntax and lexis and semantics.

We tend to lean towards his third hypothesis for this paper, based on the observation that the students we observed had a mastery over the English language and also

that the transfers we observed all seemed to be based on the structure of the English language.

### **Methodology**

The sample for our study belongs to set of students who have had their training in the English language and who are very comfortable using this language as a means of communication. Considering the fact that only the best get to enter the college, these students can be considered part of the elite for whom English plays a more important role than any indigenous language they may speak as far as their academic life is concerned.

A total of 90 first and second year students (18 to 35 years on the average) of the French department of the three colleges were recorded with their permission during an oral examination session in which they were asked to describe an image or form a dialogue (in pairs) from the image(s). Their productions were then transcribed. It is these errors that we analyze grouping them into various types of errors and discussing possible ways in which the foreign language teacher can use these errors to his advantage. In this article, we do not use phonetic transcriptions as our focus is more on structural observations. We transcribe the individual words as close to the sound as possible. We then analyze these productions using the classifications of student errors developed by Dada (Atoyebi 1997 p.68).

He groups the errors produced by learners into four categories namely:

- i i. *omission* of some required element;

- ii ii. *addition* of some unnecessary or incorrect element;
- iii iii. *selection* of an incorrect element; and
- iv iv. *misordering* of the elements.

Subsequently, Bello (1997 .p.69) includes the morphology, syntax and lexicon as sub-areas of his four categories of errors linguistically speaking. In our paper, we combine the four categories and sub-categories in our description of the errors observed in the production of our students.

#### **Syntactic interference**

This type of error is demonstrated by the learner on the syntactic structure of the Source Language and thus the learner repeats this structure in the Target Language. The two main types observed in the production of the students were syntactic errors denoting the addition or omission of an element.

1. Deux femmes différentes qui sont parler au téléphone
2. (Deux femmes différentes qui parlent au téléphone)

'Two different women who *are speaking* on the telephone'

In example 1, the student adds *sont* to *parler* probably because in English the auxiliary *to be* is included in the conjugation of the verb in the present continuous tense. In French, the auxiliary is not used for this tense, which shares the same form as the simple present tense. *Parlent* could be translated *speaking* or *are speaking*, meaning that there is no need to introduce the auxiliary in French.

3. La première femme est contente parce qu'elle est rit  
(La première femme est contente parce qu'elle rit)  
'The first woman is happy because she *is laughing*.'

Example 2 demonstrates addition and misordering. It can also be considered a case of transliteration since the learner seems to have done a word-for-word translation from English. As in the case of example one, the conjugated form *rit* means *is laughing*. There is therefore no need to introduce the conjugated auxiliary *est* this will mean *\*she is laughing*.

3. Elle n'est pas rit (Elle ne rit pas).  
'She *is not laughing*.'

In example 3, we observe the addition of the auxiliary *être* as well as a probable case of transliteration since the verb in French has been placed at the end of the sentence as is the case in English during negation. Normally in French, the verb is surrounded by the negation markers *ne* and *pas*. While in English, there is just one marker *not* and it precedes the verb.

...parce que les femmes sont toujours travaillent

(parce que les femmes travaillent toujours).

'... because women *are* always *working*.'

Example 4 presents a double scenario of the introduction of the auxiliary verb *être* as well as transliteration. This is because in French, the adverb *toujours* will be in final position but in English it precedes the verb. It is observed that the learner places it before the verb just as it occurs in English.

Il y a une réunion sur lundi

4. (Il y a une réunion lundi)

There is a meeting *on* Monday

Example 5 demonstrates the introduction of the French preposition *sur* which is the translation of the English preposition '*on*'. Most likely because in English the preposition appears before the day of the week, the learner has transposed this to the French language where there is no preposition before the day of the week. In certain contexts, there will be the definite article *le/les*.

Most of the errors observed for addition concern the auxiliary verb *être*. This is because in English, this auxiliary appears in constructions of the present continuous tense even though it does not appear in French. Moreover, the errors that are linked to the prepositions is also because in English '*on*' appears before mentioning the day of the week in similar constructions.

## ii) Omission

These involve the absence of certain items in the construction that should normally be present. The omission errors observed were basically the absence of prepositions or some verbs in French where these are supposed to be present as can be observed from the sentences in brackets. It is to be noted that in the English equivalents, these lexical items would normally be absent.

On demande Ø l'homme le prix \  
(On demande à l'homme le prix).

'They ask the man the price.'

In example six, the student omits the preposition *à*. In French, the verb *demandez* accompanied by the preposition *à* if it means to

'ask somebody'. However, in English, there is no preposition.

7. Il a besoin des stylos et des cahiers

(Il a besoin des stylos et des cahiers).

'He needs pens and exercise books.'

There is an omission of the auxiliary verb *avoir* in the example 7 since in English it is possible to conjugate *need* without using an auxiliary. However, there is the less-used equivalent '*He has need of,*' which includes the auxiliary *avoir*, and which is syntactically closer to the French equivalent.

Un homme entre le bus

(Un homme entre dans le bus).

'A man enters the bus.'

In example (8), the learner omits the preposition *dans* which usually follows the verb *entrer*. It is to be observed that in English, the equivalent verb '*enter*' does not need to be followed by the preposition '*in/into*.' The general observation from the examples of the omission errors is that the learners borrowed from the English structure and did not include words that are expected to be present in French, basically because they are not present in English.

## Misordering

In the error of misordering, the elements are structurally misarranged. These errors also demonstrate a dependence on the English language since the words are misordered based on the English

structure. The following are some examples.

3. Elle n'est pas rit  
(Elle ne Ø rit pas).

'She is not laughing.

Even though we have already seen example 3 as a case of addition of the auxiliary être, this example also presents an example of misordering. This is because the structural arrangement of the negation particles is patterned after the English structure of negation making it awkward and quite clumsy in French. The verb is in the final position as is the case in English.

...parce que les femmes sont toujours travaillent

(...parce que les femmestravaillent toujours).

'... because the women are always working.'

In example 4, we observed a misordering of the adverb and the verb. In the correct form in French, travaillent comes before toujours (...parce que les femmestravaillent toujours). However, in the correct form in English, it is the reverse with 'always' coming before 'working' (... because the women are always working).

9. Elle veut savoir ce qu'ils ont besoin de

(Elle veut savoir ce dont ils ont besoin).

'She wants to know what they need/have need of.'

Example (9) could be interpreted in three ways: The learner knows the expression avoir besoin de and therefore kept this form in the sentence without undertaking the necessary transformations using dont. It is

also possible that the learner does not know how to use dont in such a case. Thirdly, the student could be relying on the English expression 'have need of' and transposing that structure onto the French sentence –

(Elle veut savoir ce qu'ils ont besoin de).

10. Je vais pour un romantique dîner

(Je vais pour un dîner romantique).

'I am going for a *romantic dinner*.'

Example 10 is a classic example of the challenges English language learners face with the position of adjectives in French. Whereas in English the adjective always precedes the noun, in French, it can either precede or follow it. And so, '*romantic dinner*' has been transposed into French to produce romantique dîner.

For the cases of misordering, it is once again based on the structure of the English language and is expressed usually by transliteration where the learner does a word-for-word substitution from the English language to the French language

### **Morphological errors**

These are errors that are linked to the form of a word or expression

#### **iii) Lack of contraction**

11. À le restaurant (Au restaurant).  
'At the restaurant.'

12. À le client (Au client).  
'To the client.'

We did not observe much by way of morphological errors in the productions of the students. The main challenge observed was the lack of contraction of the preposition + article in French and it is written

out just like in English. This could also be due to trying to give a literal translation or just ignorance of the syntactic system of dealing with preposition + article in French.

### Lexical errors

Errors based on the lexicon are usually either due to the fact that the learner does not know the right word to use and therefore fills the 'gap' with a word from L1 or uses a similar sounding word in L1 in a way that it is usually not used in L2. Some examples are presented below with the correct French rendition in parenthesis:

### False cognates

13.

Je n'ai pas l'intention de célébrer mon anniversaire.

(Je n'ai pas l'intention de fêter mon anniversaire).

I don't intend to *celebrate* my birthday.'

14. Une vieille femme achète les végétales

. (Une vieille femme achète les légumes).

'An old woman is buying the *vegetables*.

15. Le professeur introduit elle pour la classe.

(Le professeur la présente à la classe).

'The teacher *introduces* her to the class.'

The examples (13), (14) and (15) demonstrate what is called faux amis in French (false cognates). The learner uses célébrer, végétales and introduire because they sound like 'celebrate' and 'vegetables' and 'introduce' even though they do not mean the same thing in French.

16. Mais TOLA est peur  
(Mais TOLA a peur).

But TOLA is afraid.

Example (16) is another example of the wrong use of vocabulary based on a direct translation from English to French. The learner uses the verb 'to be' instead of the verb 'to have' because that is what is used in English. Indeed, in English, the expression is 'to be afraid,' while in French, the expression used means 'to have fear.'

### Insertion of L1

17 . La maîtresse l'introduit à les enfants dans la classe

(La maîtresse la présente aux enfants dans la classe).

'The mistress *introduces* her to the children in the class.'

In the example above, the student inserts the English word 'introduce' possibly because he or she does not know the alternative in French. Even in the case where there is a similar sounding word in French *introduire*, it does not mean 'to introduce someone to someone else.' It is used in contexts where it means 'to make enter,' for example 'He *introduced* the key into the door.' In French, the right word to use for introducing someone is *présenter*.

18. Elles checkent les heures que les enfants vont de la maison (Elles vérifient l'heure que les enfants quittent la maison).

'They *check* the time that the children leave (from) the house.'

Example 18 seems to be a clear case of lack of vocabulary as the student reproduces an English word to fill a lexical gap.

19. Son chef est son mari et les customers, ses enfants.

(Son chef est son mari et les clients ses enfants).

'Her chief is her husband and her customersher children.'

### **Transliteration**

20. J'espere que tu vas venir encore

(J'espère que tu vas revenir/  
J'espère que tu reviendras).

'I hope that you will *come again*.'

21. Et parce que dece problème...

(Et à cause dece problème...).

'And *because of* this problem...'

(20)and (21)demonstrate another structural error where a direct translation seems to be made on a word-for-word basis from English to French. However, unlike the case of simple misordering, these errors are not only grammatical but also lexical as some words are used in the wrong context like *parce que* in 21. In such situations, the teacher needs to explain the different contexts in which words from similar semantic fields can be used. For example, *à cause de/parce que*.

Learners may be taught for example that *parce que* cannot be followed by *de* while *à cause de* is a fixed expression. Secondly, in the example of (20), the teacher can explain how the French express doing something again by

affixation (*re + venir = revenir*) while the English choose to use '*again*.'

We observe that with the errors that have to do with the insertion of the L1, the learner fills a lexical gap with a word from English to make their sentence complete. These words are not introduced by a speaker who is bilingually

competent but by a learner who clearly lacks vocabulary in the target language and is introducing vocabulary from the Source Language as a stop-gap measure. They are actually errors that are due to lack of vocabulary/expressions and in this case, the learner falls, once again, on the English language to fill in the gap. What is noteworthy is that in all the scripts that we analyzed, we did not find evidence of the learners using a local language where they had a gap. All the stop-gap vocabulary was from the English language.

### **The relevance of the errors and Perspectives for teaching**

For the language teacher, especially one who speaks the source language of the learners, the errors described above are very instructive. They give some insight into the cognitive processes underlying the learning process and in most cases the teacher, if he speaks the same source language, will perfectly understand what the learner wants to communicate and this in spite of the errors. What can the language teacher and the developer of language course content derive from these errors? It is obvious that students do not commit these errors because they want to. Seeing that these errors seem to occur on a large scale and are usually of the types discussed above, it is suggested that provision is made for such errors in developing course content and also in course delivery.

Language teachers should take time out to sensitize their learners to these possible errors and

provide strategies for helping learners to cope with potentially difficult-to-master elements that are due mainly to L1 interference. With the error of addition, we infer from the production of the learners that they have copied the structure from the English language, where the verb “to be” is used as an auxiliary in the present continuous tense. It is therefore quite difficult for the learner to imagine, without being taught, that this is not the case in the French language. It is important to note that in the French language, the same sentence structure can either have a habitual meaning or a present continuous interpretation without the use of an auxiliary verb. Therefore, an example such as:

Je mange

Can mean

‘I eat’ or

‘I am eating.’

The learner, who is not aware of this difference and who usually thinks of what he or she wants to say in English before translating into French, will therefore borrow the *Pro Aux* structure from the English language to construct his or her sentences in French. In the second example of addition, we see that the learner adds a preposition like *in* in English although no preposition occurs in French. We propose that the teacher who observes such errors (wrongful addition of an auxiliary) should be able to undertake a contrastive analysis with the students to enable them understand the differences in conjugation in the present tense and the present continuous tense in English and the equivalent expressions in French.

The learners should be able to undertake lots of structural exercises in French to enable them to grasp the fact that the equivalent of the present continuous tense in English is expressed without an auxiliary in French. When it comes to lexical errors, especially errors with false cognates, the teacher can produce a list of words in English that sound like words in French and assign the students in groups or as individuals to go and search for the differences in meaning between the English and French equivalents. This will help the students also take ownership of their learning process and is likely to have a longer-lasting impact than just repeatedly marking them wrong without making any effort to remedy the situation.

A suggestion for dealing with the insertion of the L1 by the learner is to give the students more exercises in translation or to involve them in conscious vocabulary building where students are taught to make use of dictionaries (both hard and soft copies), expression and vocabulary books, etc. to build a solid vocabulary base in various thematic areas for example the home, shopping, cooking, introducing oneself, etc.

The teacher can remedy morphological error of lack of contraction (e.g. *à le restaurant* instead of *au restaurant*) not just by having a grammar lesson to explain the contraction process but also by providing communication scenarios or exercises in which the learners will have to use these forms to such an extent that the learners get used to these forms and assimilate them

till it becomes an unconscious gesture.

When it comes to errors of omission, the French language teacher has to help the students learn expressions in the language instead of individual words so that the learner understands that certain words go together even if that is not the case in the English language. For example, in the case of (6), the learner has to learn that *demandeur* is usually followed by the preposition *à*, thus giving us the expression *demandeur à quelqu'un* as opposed to 'ask somebody.' The teacher can also teach the students, in the case of the error in () that in French the expression *avoir besoin de* is more or less equivalent to the English 'have need of' since in French you cannot just 'need something' but you 'have need of something.'

Lastly, the teacher needs to explain to the learner that in an example like (8), *entrer*, as a verb movement is always followed by the directional preposition *dans*. This will enable him explain to the students. It is important for the teacher to explain not just grammatical rules but the concepts behind the rules to make learning easier for the learners, especially where there is a conflict with the concept from the source language.

When tackling the error of misordering, especially for a foreign language teacher who speaks the same Source Language as the learners, the teacher can undertake a contrastive analysis with the students and also expose them to the language in use by discussing the perceived differences in the

structure of French and English such as the position of adjectives, etc.

### Conclusion

What do these findings mean for the foreign language teacher? Firstly, we will say that it calls for another look at student errors knowing that they are not always due to negligence on the part of the student. Many times, these so-called errors actually point to creativity on the part of the learner –be it morphological, syntactic, or even discursive. They actually point to the learner making an effort to manage language problems and difficulties which Banjo (2000 p.257) describes as a salient part in L2 communication. It is also important for a teacher to make an effort to incorporate these communication strategies into their teaching and learning objectives. These findings are also a useful tool for devising or designing more adequate methodologies for the classroom and new and improved teaching materials.

An understanding of these errors, based on the Source Language, can also help the teacher offer remedial teaching, explaining the source of these errors so that students are aware of them and pay particular attention to them. Indeed, interference and transfers are a normal part of second or foreign language learning. It does not need to be a source of frustration for the teacher but rather a window through which the teacher can observe the gaps that need to be filled. Learners will master the target language as they gradually internalize the syntactic structures

of the target language and learn to think in that language. If such errors are well handled, they can actually lead to rapid progress by the learners as they master the intricacies of the target language and learn to distinguish the differences and/or similarities between the Source language and the Target Language.

### References

**Adepoju D. Y.** (2008 P.67). Problèmes de Transfert Linguistique Inhérents à l'Apprentissage du Français dans un Milieu Multilingue Non- francophone. *Teaching and Learning of Language, Culture and Literature*, 67–81 in

**Adewale** (1997. P.93)Eds Associates for Change *The Status of French Language Teaching and Learning across Nigeria Public Education System*.

**Atoyebi O A .** (2009.P 47). English and Tongue *Nigeria.*,Pitho Press ,Benin City

**Banjo A.A.**(2000 P.257). *Foreign language and mother tongue*. Psychology.University Press ,Ibadan

**Bello R. (2003.P.69 ).** *Second Language Acquisition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

**Corder, S. P.** (1967). The significance of Learner's errors. *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language teaching*. Volume 5, No.4. 161-170 (AF)

**Dada A.A.** (1999). Communication Strategies in a second language: Definitions and Taxonomies. *Language Learning*, 47(1), 173–210.

**Dewaele, J.-M.** (2002). Variation, chaos et système en inter-langue

française. *Acquisition et Interaction En Langue Étrangère*, 17, 147–167.

**Fafunwa A,B.** (2002 P.148) *Introducing Applied Linguistics*. Penguin Education.

**Government of Nigeria.** (2009). *Nigeria Education Review Report: White Paper*. Improving Educational Quality (IEQ) Project. (2020). *Implementation of the School Language Policy in Nigeria: Interim*

**Richards, J. C. et al.** (1992 P.315). *Dictionary of language teaching and applied linguistics*. Essex: Longman.

**Salawu** (2006.P .75). *Introducing Second Language Acquisition*. Cambridge: CUP.

**Selinker, L. (1972.)** *Interl-anguage. International Review of Applied Linguistics 10: 209–241*.

**White, L.** (2003). *Second Language Acquisition and Universal Grammar*, Cambridge: CUP.