

**Effect of variety and spacing on the incidence and severity of  
*Aphelenchoides besseyi* in tuberose**

**\*Shimpy Sarkar and Kusal Roy**

*Department of Agricultural Entomology, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur,  
Nadia-741252, West Bengal, India*

*Present affiliation of Corresponding author: Department of Agronomy, Lovely Professional  
University, Phagwara-144411, Punjab, India*

*\*Corresponding author email: shimpy.23645@lpu.co.in*

**Abstract**

*An experiment was conducted to study the effect of varieties and spacing on the incidence and severity of foliar nematode *Aphelenchoides besseyi* Christie in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) which is one of the important cut flower in the eastern part of India (West Bengal and Odisha), and also in the northern plains and parts of the south. The production of tuberose is constrained mainly by plant parasitic nematodes, insect-pests and diseases. Among nematodes, the foliar nematode, *A. besseyi* is a serious threat to sustainable tuberose production in West Bengal. Incidence of *A. besseyi* on tuberose was 1<sup>st</sup> noticed during 3<sup>rd</sup> week of August, 2015 in both the cultivars. The per cent plant infestation i.e. incidence of *A. besseyi* gradually increased after their initiation and the maximum was recorded during 2<sup>nd</sup> week of October, 2015. From 4<sup>th</sup> week of October, 2015 a gentle decline on the incidence of *A. besseyi* was noticed till 2<sup>nd</sup> week of January, 2016. The sign of foliar nematode infestation was again detected on the 2<sup>nd</sup> year tuberose crop during 2<sup>nd</sup> week of May, 2016 and gradually increased till 4<sup>th</sup> week of August, 2016. Calcutta Single suffered more from the infestation of *A. besseyi*. Narrow spaced crop also suffered comparatively more from the foliar nematode infestation than the wide spaced crop. The variety played more influential role as compared to the spacing towards manifestation of disease due to *A. besseyi* in tuberose.*

*Keywords: incidence, severity, *Aphelenchoides besseyi*, infestation*

*Effect of variety and spacing on the incidence and severity of *Aphelenchoides besseyi* in  
tuberose*

### **Introduction**

Tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) is one of the important tropical bulbous flowering plants grown for production of eternal floral spikes. It is popularly known as Rajanigandha, Nishigandha, Sword Lilly etc. It belongs to the family Amaryllidaceae and is a native of Mexico from where it spread to different parts of the world during 16<sup>th</sup> Century. The spikes of tuberose are used as cut flowers due to its delightful appearance, sweet and elegant fragrance and good keeping quality (i.e. long vase-life). The individual florets are used for making *Veni* and *Garlands*. It has long been cherished for the aromatic oils extracted from its fragrant white flowers. Tuberose blooms throughout the year and its florets are star shaped, waxy and loosely arranged on spike. Single flowered varieties are more fragrant than double flowered and usually preferred for *Gajara* and *Garlands*, while doubles and semi-doubles are preferably used for vase decoration. Tuberose is propagated by bulb having a diameter of 1.5 to 2.0 cm and weight approximately 30 g. Three to four months after planting, tuberose starts blooming. Though they bloom all-round the year but the summer and rainy seasons are the peak period. Bulbs once planted give commercial production up to 3 years.

One of the important biotic constraints of tuberose cultivation in West Bengal is the infestation of plant parasitic nematodes. Among the few plant parasitic nematodes, the foliar nematode, *Aphelenchoides besseyi* Christie has shown some threat to the cultivation of the crop in the state. The first report of foliar nematode infestation on tuberose to cause foliar disease was made from Hawaii by Holtzmann in 1968. In India, '*floral malady*' of tuberose

due to *A. besseyi* was reported first from the Ranaghat areas of the Nadia district of West Bengal (Chakraborti and Ghosh, 1993). Besides India, *A. besseyi* had been reported to cause a serious foliar disease of tuberose in the Mekong Delta of Vietnam (Cuc and Pilon, 2007). Widespread occurrence of foliar nematode on rice, tuberose, onion and gladiolus was also reported from West Bengal (Roy *et al.*, 2010; Roy *et al.*, 2011a & 2011b).

Infestations of *A. besseyi* leads to primary appearance of light chlorotic lesion along the mid axis (rib) of the leaf starting from base extending upward but never touch the apex. Subsequently, it becomes yellowish and finally turns to brown or black, elongated necrotic spot. Infected leaves later on die and abscise. Scape becomes curled and twisted. Endoparasitic feeding of *A. besseyi* was observed in leaves, bracts and tepals (petals) where brown to black necrotic spots of varying shapes and sizes develop. Sometimes inflorescence gets aborted completely. Nematode may survive on bulbs, leaves, scapes, bracts, tepals and anthers but not in the soil (Roy *et al.*, 2010; Roy *et al.*, 2011b). Second year onwards tuberose crop suffers most from the infestation of foliar nematode, this may lead to annual yield loss of 30 to 40% in the 'Calcutta Double' cultivar as against 59% in 'Calcutta Single' cultivar (Khan and Pal, 2001; Pathak and Khan, 2009).

Infested bulbs harbour anhydrobiotic forms of *A. besseyi* and meant for widespread distribution. The nematode may also survive in the dried leaves and flowers in anhydrobiotic form; but unable to survive in soil for long time.

Considering the importance of nematodes in the integrated pest management system and increasing concern of environment, adoption of low input plant protection technologies like, summer ploughing, soil solarization, organic manuring, adjustment of dates of sowing/planting, spacing, adoption of resistant varieties, clean cultivation and judicious use of pesticides are gaining significance. Integration of two or more above mentioned methods could be adopted, for managing nematode like, *A. besseyi*.

In view of the widespread occurrence and increasing importance of *A. besseyi* on the tuberose crop in the changing agricultural scenario, the present investigation was undertaken with the objectives of studying the effect of variety and spacing on the incidence and severity of *A. besseyi* in tuberose.

### **Materials and methods**

The experiment was designed in Factorial RBD. Infected bulbs of approximately 2.5cm diameter of two cultivars of tuberose viz. Calcutta Single and Calcutta Double were planted in two different spacings (30 × 20 cm and 30 × 25 cm). The crop was raised by following recommended horticultural practices. Bulbs were investigated for confirming the presence of *A. besseyi* before planting.

### **Observations:**

Following observations were recorded during the period of experimentation.

- i. Observation on first appearance of leaf symptoms due to nematode infestation corresponding to crop phenological stages.
- ii. Observation on incidence and severity of leaf infestation

### **Numerical grading scale for evaluation of nematode pest severity/ intensity**

<b>Scale value</b>	<b>% leaf or flower infestation</b>
1	No infection
2	Up to 10.0
3	>10– 30.0
4	> 30 – 50.0
5	>50 – 70.0
6	>70.0

**The percent disease index (PDI) or disease severity was calculated using the following formula (Wheeler, 1969):**

$$\text{PDI} = \frac{\text{Sum of numerical grading}}{\text{Number of leaves / flowers examined} \times \text{Maximum disease grade}} \times 100$$

### **Results and discussion**

The effect of variety and spacings on incidence of *A. besseyi* in tuberose over the growing period has been presented in Table 1). Incidence of *A. besseyi* on tuberose was 1<sup>st</sup> noticed on 489<sup>th</sup> DAP (3<sup>rd</sup> week of August, 2015) of the crop on both the cultivars. With the spread of foliar nematodes, the disease symptom gradually escalated to the other plant parts like scape, spikes, flowers etc. The per cent plant infestation i.e. incidence of *A. besseyi* was gradually increased after their initiation and the maximum was recorded on 545<sup>th</sup> DAP (2<sup>nd</sup> week of October, 2015). From 559<sup>th</sup> DAP (4<sup>th</sup> week of October, 2015) a gentle decline on the incidence of *A. besseyi* was noticed till 629<sup>th</sup> DAP (2<sup>nd</sup> week of January, 2016).

Tuberoses are herbaceous and perennial flowering plants which usually become exhausted after giving flowers over the year and start rejuvenating again from March onwards in the *Gangetic* plain areas of West Bengal. Hence, no sign of foliar nematode infestation was traced in the field till 1<sup>st</sup> week of May, 2016.

The sign of foliar nematode infestation was again detected on the 2<sup>nd</sup> year tuberose crop at 747<sup>th</sup> DAP (2<sup>nd</sup> week of May, 2016) and gradually increased till 859<sup>th</sup> DAP (4<sup>th</sup> week of August, 2016). In the 2<sup>nd</sup> year crop, significant maximum infestation of *A. besseyi* was recorded as 40% and 17.5% in the Calcutta Single and Calcutta Double cultivar, respectively at 859<sup>th</sup> DAP. Subsequently, plants revealed reduction in nematode infestation as they were going to be exhausted.

Out of two cultivars of tuberose, Calcutta Single suffered more from the infestation of *A. besseyi* than the Calcutta Double as supported by the data on incidence over the growing period (Table 1). The significant maximum foliar nematode infestation was 67.5% and 46.7% in the Calcutta Single and Calcutta Double cultivar, respectively at 545<sup>th</sup> DAP (Table 1).

Here, closely spaced crops succumbed to more nematode infestation than the widely spaced crops in general. Significant effect of close spacing on the increased incidence of foliar nematode infestation was observed at 503<sup>rd</sup>, 531<sup>st</sup>, 587<sup>th</sup>, 747<sup>th</sup>, 761<sup>st</sup> and 789<sup>th</sup> DAP (Table 1). The significant maximum foliar nematode infestation was 55.8% and 40.0% in the close (30cm ×20 cm) and wide (30cm ×25 cm) spaced crops, respectively at 587<sup>th</sup> DAP (Table 1). The recorded data revealed that in very few cases spacing had significant effect on the incidence of the foliar nematodes on tuberose. Mostly variety played the vital role towards governing the nematode infestation. Calcutta Single was found more susceptible to *A. besseyi* than the Calcutta Double.

#### **Interaction between variety and spacing on the incidence of *A. besseyi* in tuberose**

The effect of interaction between variety and spacing on incidence of *A. besseyi* in tuberose has been presented below (Table 2). None of the interactions between the variety and spacing used in the experiment showed significant influence on the incidence of *A. besseyi* during the crop growing period (Table 2). Incidence of *A. besseyi* was usually more in the Calcutta Single than the Calcutta Double.

#### **Effect of variety and spacing on the severity of *A. besseyi* infestation in tuberose**

The effect of variety and spacing on the severity of *A. besseyi* infestation in tuberose during the crop growing period has been presented in the table-3. Out of two cultivars used in the experiment, Calcutta Single suffered most; here severity of foliar nematode induced disease ranged from 0.7 to 45.1% (Table 3). The least disease severity in the Calcutta Single cultivar was recorded at the time of initiation (489 DAP) of nematode infestation while, the

highest disease severity was noted on 545<sup>th</sup> DAP (2<sup>nd</sup> week of October, 2015). In the Calcutta Double cultivar minimum disease severity (3.9%) was observed on 629<sup>th</sup> DAP (2<sup>nd</sup> week of January, 2016) and the maximum severity (28.3%) was recorded on 545<sup>th</sup> DAP (2<sup>nd</sup> week of October, 2015).

Soon after the commencement of foliar nematode infestation in the second year tuberose crop on 747<sup>th</sup> DAP (2<sup>nd</sup> week of May, 2016) the disease progressed gradually to reach its maximum level on 859<sup>th</sup> DAP (4<sup>th</sup> week of August, 2016).

Usually, narrow spaced (30cm × 20cm) tuberose crop suffered comparatively more from the foliar nematode infestation than the wide spaced (30cm × 25cm) crop. The data indicated that the variety played more influential role as compared to the spacing towards manifestation of disease due to *A. besseyi* in tuberose.

Scapes become curled and twisted. The infected plants bear many a time smaller scapes than healthy and normal ones. Sometimes inflorescence gets aborted completely (Roy *et al.*, 2010; Roy *et al.*, 2011b). In the present experiment also such abnormalities were observed on the leaves, bracts and inflorescence of tuberose when the infestation was severe.

#### **Effect of interaction between variety and spacing on the severity of *A. besseyi* infestation in tuberose during 2014-16**

Non-significant interaction between variety and spacing was observed on the severity of foliar nematode infestation (Table 4). Interaction study revealed severe infestation of *A. besseyi* in the Calcutta Single variety when grown in narrow spacing.

**Table 1: Effect of variety and spacing on the incidence of *A. besseyi* in tuberose**

Treatments	Incidence of <i>A. besseyi</i> in tuberose (%)					
	489 DAP	503 DAP	517 DAP	531 DAP	545 DAP	559DAP
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	6.6 (1.7)	25.4 (21.7)	40.9 (44.2)	44.2 (48.3)	56.5 (67.5)	53.9 (62.5)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	18.3 (17.5)	18.5 (13.3)	21.5 (18.3)	35.2 (36.7)	41.7 (46.7)	36.0 (37.5)
SEm (±)	4.22	3.10	4.54	4.31	4.35	5.89
LSD (0.05)	NS	NS	13.70	NS	13.12	17.76
S <sub>1</sub> : (30×20) cm	12.9 (9.2)	29.2 (25.0)	28.9 (27.5)	48.0 (53.3)	48.8 (56.7)	41.0 (45.8)
S <sub>2</sub> : (30×25) cm	11.9 (10.0)	14.7 (10.0)	33.5 (35.0)	31.4 (31.7)	49.5 (57.5)	48.9 (54.2)
SEm (±)	4.22	3.10	4.54	4.31	4.35	5.89
LSD (0.05)	NS	9.36	NS	12.99	NS	NS

Treatments	Incidence of <i>A. besseyi</i> in tuberose (%)				
	573 DAP	587 DAP	601DAP	615 DAP	629 DAP
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	51.1 (60.8)	45.6 (50.8)	38.5 (40.0)	29.9 (27.5)	23.6 (19.2)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	31.0 (30.8)	42.1 (45.0)	25.4 (21.7)	17.9 (11.7)	12.3 (6.7)
SEm (±)	5.51	3.28	4.21	4.26	3.66
LSD (0.05)	16.62	NS	12.70	NS	11.04
S <sub>1</sub> : (30×20) cm	38.7 (43.3)	48.7 (55.8)	29.6 (28.3)	24.1 (20.0)	16.3 (11.7)
S <sub>2</sub> : (30×25) cm	43.4 (48.3)	38.9 (40.0)	34.3 (33.3)	23.7 (19.2)	19.6 (14.2)
SEm (±)	5.51	3.28	4.21	4.26	3.66
LSD (0.05)	NS	9.88	NS	NS	NS

Treatments	Incidence of <i>A. besseyi</i> in tuberose (%)				
	747 DAP	761DAP	775 DAP	789 DAP	803DAP
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	18.5 (12.1)	19.8 (13.3)	22.5 (15.4)	22.9 (16.7)	23.8 (17.5)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	14.7 (8.3)	14.6 (9.2)	14.1 (7.5)	20.9 (14.2)	15.2 (7.5)
SEm (±)	2.10	3.08	2.69	2.21	2.79
LSD (0.05)	NS	NS	8.13	NS	8.42
S <sub>1</sub> : (30×20) cm	22.3 (15.4)	22.2 (15.8)	17.7 (11.7)	26.4 (20.0)	20.0 (13.3)
S <sub>2</sub> : (30×25) cm	10.9 (5.0)	12.2 (6.7)	18.8 (11.3)	17.3 (10.8)	18.9 (11.7)
SEm (±)	2.10	3.08	2.69	2.21	2.79
LSD (0.05)	6.34	9.29	NS	6.66	NS

Treatments	Incidence of <i>A. besseyi</i> in tuberose (%)				
	817 DAP	831DAP	845 DAP	859 DAP	873DAP
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	25.5 (20.0)	30.5 (27.5)	37.9 (40.8)	38.2 (40.0)	38.0 (38.3)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	13.4 (6.7)	23.3 (17.5)	23.4 (19.2)	23.4 (17.5)	27.7 (23.3)
SEm (±)	2.53	3.84	5.09	4.25	4.39
LSD (0.05)	7.64	NS	NS	12.82	NS
S <sub>1</sub> : (30×20) cm	19.1 (12.5)	26.1 (21.7)	30.9 (30.8)	31.3 (29.2)	33.6 (32.5)
S <sub>2</sub> : (30×25) cm	19.8 (14.2)	27.7 (23.3)	30.5 (29.2)	30.3 (28.3)	32.1 (29.2)
SEm (±)	2.53	3.84	5.09	4.25	4.39
LSD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Note: Data shown are (x+0.5) angular transformed values, figures in parentheses indicate original values

Table 2: Interaction between variety and spacings on the incidence of *A. besseyi* in tuberose during 2014-16

Treatments	Incidence of <i>A. besseyi</i> in tuberose (%)					
	489 DAP		503 DAP		517 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	9.0 (3.3)	4.1 (0.0)	33.2 (30.0)	17.6 (13.3)	34.6 (35.0)	47.3 (53.3)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	16.9 (15.0)	19.8 (20.0)	25.3 (20.0)	11.7 (6.6)	23.2 (20.0)	19.8 (16.6)
SEm (±)	5.97		4.39		6.43	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Treatments	Incidence of <i>A. besseyi</i> in tuberose (%)					
	531 DAP		545 DAP		559 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	54.2 (61.6)	34.3 (35.0)	53.7 (63.3)	59.4 (71.6)	49.3 (56.6)	58.6 (68.3)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	41.9 (45.0)	28.6 (28.3)	43.9 (50.0)	39.6 (43.3)	32.7 (35.0)	39.3 (40.0)
SEm (±)	6.09		6.15		8.33	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Treatments	Incidence of <i>A. besseyi</i> in tuberose (%)					
	573 DAP		587 DAP		601 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	48.9 (58.3)	53.3 (63.3)	51.1 (60.0)	40.1 (41.6)	36.6 (38.3)	40.4 (41.6)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	28.5 (28.3)	33.5 (33.3)	46.3 (51.6)	37.8 (38.3)	22.6 (18.3)	28.2 (25.0)
SEm (±)	7.80		4.64		5.96	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Treatments	Incidence of <i>A. besseyi</i> in tuberose (%)					
	615 DAP		629 DAP		747 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	31.5 (30.0)	28.4 (25.0)	22.3 (18.3)	24.9 (20.0)	24.2 (17.5)	12.8 (6.6)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	16.6 (10.0)	19.1 (13.3)	10.4 (5.0)	14.2 (8.3)	20.4 (13.3)	9.0 (3.3)
SEm (±)	6.03		5.18		2.98	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Treatments	Incidence of <i>A. besseyi</i> in tuberose (%)					
	761 DAP		775 DAP		789 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	24.2 (16.6)	15.3 (10.0)	22.6 (16.6)	22.3 (14.1)	27.8 (21.6)	17.9 (11.6)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	20.2 (15.0)	9.0 (3.3)	12.8 (6.6)	15.3 (8.3)	25.1 (18.3)	16.6 (10.0)
SEm (±)	4.36		3.82		3.12	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Treatments	Incidence of <i>A. besseyi</i> in tuberose (%)					
	803 DAP		817 DAP		831 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	23.6 (18.3)	24.0 (16.6)	22.9 (16.6)	28.1 (23.3)	29.5 (26.6)	31.5 (28.3)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	16.4 (8.3)	13.9 (6.6)	15.3 (8.3)	11.5 (5.0)	22.6 (16.6)	23.9 (18.3)
SEm (±)	3.95		3.58		5.43	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Treatments	Incidence of <i>A. besseyi</i> in tuberose (%)					
	845 DAP		859 DAP		873 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	39.5 (43.3)	36.5 (38.3)	39.2 (40.0)	36.8 (36.6)	39.8 (41.6)	36.6 (38.3)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	22.3 (18.3)	24.6 (20.0)	28.0 (25.0)	27.3 (21.6)	22.9 (16.6)	23.9 (18.3)
SEm (±)	7.21		6.21		6.01	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Table 3: Effect of variety and spacing on severity of *A. besseyi* infestation in tuberose

Treatments	Severity of <i>A. besseyi</i> infestation in tuberose (%)					
	489 DAP	503 DAP	517 DAP	531 DAP	545 DAP	559 DAP
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	5.5 (0.7)	19.1 (12.7)	27.2 (21.0)	28.6 (24.0)	42.5 (45.1)	38.9 (39.6)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	12.4 (7.6)	15.4 (9.0)	27.0 (20.8)	23.2 (17.4)	29.8 (28.3)	29.0 (26.4)
SEm (±)	2.40	2.20	1.80	2.73	3.31	3.24
LSD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	9.98	9.76
S <sub>1</sub> : (30×20) cm	9.4 (4.2)	22.9 (15.9)	30.0 (22.1)	30.3 (25.8)	36.5 (37.5)	33.8 (32.9)
S <sub>2</sub> : (30×25) cm	8.5 (4.2)	11.6 (5.8)	24.2 (19.4)	21.5 (15.6)	35.9 (36.0)	34.2 (33.1)
SEm (±)	2.40	2.20	1.80	2.73	3.31	3.24
LSD (0.05)	NS	6.63	5.43	8.23	NS	NS

Treatments	Severity of <i>A. besseyi</i> infestation in tuberose (%)				
	573 DAP	587 DAP	601 DAP	615 DAP	629 DAP
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	38.5 (38.5)	36.8 (36.0)	30.8 (27.5)	23.9 (18.6)	18.9 (12.1)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	28.9 (25.6)	24.3 (19.9)	15.9 (10.1)	15.8 (8.3)	9.9 (3.9)
SEm (±)	3.26	3.08	3.43	2.69	2.73
LSD (0.05)	9.83	9.28	10.33	8.12	8.23
S <sub>1</sub> : (30×20) cm	32.0 (33.5)	29.8 (27.6)	21.6 (16.3)	19.4 (13.5)	14.2 (7.8)
S <sub>2</sub> : (30×25) cm	35.4 (31.0)	31.2 (28.2)	25.1 (21.4)	20.3 (13.5)	14.6 (8.2)
SEm (±)	3.26	3.08	3.43	2.69	2.73
LSD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Treatments	Severity of <i>A. besseyi</i> infestation in tuberoses (%)				
	747 DAP	761 DAP	775 DAP	789 DAP	803 DAP
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	19.1 (12.7)	21.1 (15.1)	23.2 (16.2)	24.8 (17.5)	25.2 (19.9)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	14.72 (8.2)	15.4 (10.1)	15.5 (8.1)	15.9 (9.0)	21.5 (14.9)
SEm (±)	2.20	3.04	2.52	2.29	2.18
LSD (0.05)	NS	NS	7.60	6.90	NS
S <sub>1</sub> : (30×20) cm	22.21 (15.1)	23.98 (18.3)	19.00 (12.4)	21.1 (14.3)	28.3 (22.5)
S <sub>2</sub> : (30×25) cm	11.61 (5.8)	12.48 (6.9)	19.68 (11.9)	19.6 (12.2)	18.4 (12.2)
SEm (±)	2.20	3.04	2.52	2.29	2.18
LSD (0.05)	6.64	9.18	NS	NS	6.56

Treatments	Severity of <i>A. besseyi</i> infestation in tuberoses (%)				
	817 DAP	831 DAP	845 DAP	859 DAP	873 DAP
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	27.4 (22.5)	28.3 (24.2)	28.4 (24.0)	39.3 (41.5)	38.5 (39.0)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	14.2 (7.6)	20.8 (16.0)	20.2 (12.9)	25.9 (23.8)	27.2 (22.2)
SEm (±)	2.80	3.74	3.13	4.57	3.38
LSD (0.05)	8.45	NS	NS	13.76	10.20
S <sub>1</sub> : (30×20) cm	19.4 (12.9)	24.79 (20.8)	24.5 (18.9)	31.91 (31.5)	32.55 (30.7)
S <sub>2</sub> : (30×25) cm	22.2 (17.2)	24.34 (19.3)	24.1 (18.1)	33.28 (33.8)	33.21 (30.6)
SEm (±)	2.80	3.74	3.13	4.57	3.38
LSD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Note: Data shown are (x+0.5) angular transformed values; figures in parentheses indicate original values

Table 4: Interaction between variety and spacing on the severity of *A. besseyi* infestation in tuberoses during 2014-16

Treatments	Severity of <i>A. besseyi</i> infestation in tuberoses (%)					
	489 DAP		503 DAP		517 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	6.9 (1.3)	4.1 (0.0)	24.5 (17.6)	13.7 (7.7)	30.5 (25.5)	23.9 (16.5)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	11.9 (6.9)	12.8 (8.3)	21.2 (14.1)	9.5 (3.8)	29.5 (24.4)	24.5 (17.2)
SEm (±)	3.40		3.11		2.55	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Treatments	Severity of <i>A. besseyi</i> infestation in tuberose (%)					
	531 DAP		545 DAP		559 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	32.3 (28.6)	24.9 (19.4)	42.2 (44.7)	42.7 (45.6)	40.0 (41.3)	37.9 (37.7)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	28.3 (23.0)	18.0 (11.6)	30.7 (30.3)	29.0 (26.4)	27.6 (24.4)	30.4 (28.3)
SEm (±)	3.86		4.68		4.58	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Treatments	Severity of <i>A. besseyi</i> infestation in tuberose (%)					
	573 DAP		587 DAP		601 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	36.4 (35.0)	40.6 (42.0)	37.2 (36.9)	36.4 (35.0)	26.1 (21.1)	35.5 (33.8)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	27.6 (23.5)	30.3 (27.7)	22.4 (18.3)	26.1 (21.4)	17.2 (11.3)	14.7 (8.8)
SEm (±)	4.60		4.35		4.85	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Treatments	Severity of <i>A. besseyi</i> infestation in tuberose (%)					
	615 DAP		629 DAP		747 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	23.9 (19.2)	23.8 (18.1)	17.4 (11.1)	20.3 (13.1)	24.5 (17.6)	13.7 (7.7)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	14.8 (7.8)	16.8 (8.9)	10.9 (4.5)	8.9 (3.3)	19.9 (12.5)	9.5 (3.8)
SEm (±)	3.81		3.86		3.12	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Treatments	Severity of <i>A. besseyi</i> infestation in tuberose (%)					
	761 DAP		775 DAP		789 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	27.0 (20.5)	15.2 (9.7)	23.2 (16.9)	23.2 (15.5)	25.3 (18.3)	24.3 (16.6)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	20.9 (16.1)	9.8 (4.2)	14.8 (7.7)	16.1 (8.3)	16.9 (10.2)	14.9 (7.7)
SEm (±)	4.30		3.57		3.23	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Treatments	Severity of <i>A. besseyi</i> infestation in tuberoses (%)					
	803 DAP		817 DAP		831 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	30.4 (25.3)	20.0 (14.4)	23.8 (17.7)	31.1 (27.2)	30.6 (26.1)	26.1 (22.2)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	26.2 (19.7)	16.8 (10.0)	15.0 (8.1)	13.4 (7.2)	19.0 (15.5)	22.6 (16.3)
SEm (±)	3.08		3.97		5.30	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Treatments	Severity of <i>A. besseyi</i> infestation in tuberoses (%)					
	845 DAP		859 DAP		873 DAP	
	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm	S <sub>1</sub> (30×20) cm	S <sub>2</sub> (30×25) cm
V <sub>1</sub> : Calcutta Single	27.8 (24.4)	28.9 (23.6)	39.6 (40.2)	39.1 (42.7)	37.9 (37.7)	39.2 (40.2)
V <sub>2</sub> : Calcutta Double	21.1 (13.3)	19.2 (12.5)	24.3 (22.7)	27.5 (24.7)	27.2 (23.6)	27.3 (20.8)
SEm (±)	4.43		6.46		4.79	
LSD (0.05)	NS		NS		NS	

Note: Data shown are (x+0.5) angular transformed values, figures in parentheses indicate original values

**References**

- Chakraborti, H.S. & Ghosh, S.C. (1993). Studies on the floral malady of *Polianthes tuberosa* L. *Journal of Mycopathological Research*, 33, 25-28.
- Cuc, N.T.T. & Pilon, M. (2007). An *Aphelenchoides* sp. nematode parasitic of *Polianthes tuberosa* in the Mekong Delta. *Journal of Nematology*, 39, 248–257.
- Holtzmann, O.V. 1968. A foliar disease of tuberose caused by *Aphelenchoides besseyi*. *Plant Disease Reporter*, 52, 56.
- Khan, M.R. & Pal, A.K. (2001). Plant parasitic nematodes associated with tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) in West Bengal. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, 9, 357-359.
- Pathak, B. & Khan, M.R. (2009). Yield loss potential of foliar nematode, *Aphelenchoides besseyi* in tuberose. *Indian Journal of Nematology*, 39(1), 38-40.
- Roy, K, Pramanik, A. & Mukhopadhyay, A.K. (2011a). Infestation of *Aphelenchoides besseyi* on onion in West Bengal. *Indian Journal of Nematology*, 41(1), 114.
- Roy, K., Sarkar, H.K. & Pramanik, A. (2010). Morpho-anatomical changes in *Gladiolus* due to infection by *Aphelenchoides besseyi* from West Bengal, India. *Floriculture and Ornamental Biotechnology*, 4, 96-98.
- Roy, K., Sarkar, H.K. & Pramanik, A. (2011b). Symptoms and morpho-anatomical changes in leaves of *Polianthes tuberosa* with infection of *Aphelenchoides besseyi*. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, 19(1), 249-250.
- Roy, T., Biswas, I., Sengupta, A., Roy, K., Sarkar, H. K. & Mukhopadhyay, A. K. (2010). Studies on morpho-anatomical changes leading to floral malady in tuberose, *Polianthes tuberosa* L. In. *National Symposium on Floriculture Scenario in the Changing Global Perspective*, held on 16-17 April, 2010 at FTC, BCKV, Kalyani, West Bengal, India, (Abstr.) , 43.

Wheeler, B.E.J. (1969). *An Introduction to Plant Diseases*. John Wiley and Sons Limited,  
London.