

## **Review of National Urban Policy Framework 2018**

***Shashikant Nishant Sharma***

University of Petroleum and Energy Studies

Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

Email: [urp2025@gmail.com](mailto:urp2025@gmail.com)

### **Abstract**

*The National Urban Policy Framework 2018 represents a critical document in shaping the approach to urban development within the nation's borders. This review aims to assess the strengths, weaknesses, and overall effectiveness of the framework in addressing the complex challenges of urbanization. This study is aimed at exploring the declared goals of the framework and the particular aspects or subjects it intends to tackle. Evaluate the extent of the policy and determine if it sufficiently covers the diverse facets of urban development.*

### **Keywords**

National Urban Policy, Policy Framework, Urban Development, Urban Planning, Policy Analysis

### **Introduction**

Urbanization is a global phenomenon characterized by the increasing concentration of population in urban areas. In response to the dynamic challenges and opportunities posed by rapid urban growth, the [Country Name] government took a pivotal step by formulating the National Urban Policy Framework in 2018. This framework emerged as a strategic response to a confluence of factors that underscored the need for a comprehensive and cohesive approach to urban development.

### ***Factors Prompting Creation:***

Rapid Urbanization:

The relentless pace of urbanization in [Country Name] became a compelling force necessitating a proactive policy response. As rural-to-urban migration intensified and cities expanded, the pressure on existing infrastructure, services, and resources became evident, demanding a systematic and forward-looking urban policy.

Socio-Economic Dynamics:

Changing economic landscapes and emerging opportunities in urban centers prompted a reevaluation of policies to ensure that urban areas could effectively contribute to economic growth. The government recognized the need to align urban development with broader socio-economic objectives.

## Environmental Concerns:

The environmental impact of urbanization, including issues like pollution, congestion, and habitat degradation, raised alarms about the sustainability of prevailing urban development practices. Crafting a policy that integrates environmental considerations became imperative for fostering resilient and eco-friendly urban landscapes.

## Social Inclusion and Equity:

Disparities in access to resources, services, and opportunities within urban areas highlighted the need for policies promoting social inclusion and equity. The National Urban Policy Framework aimed to address these inequities, ensuring that the benefits of urban development are shared more equitably among the populace.

## ***Stakeholders Involved:***

### Government Agencies:

Various government departments and agencies played a crucial role in the development of the framework. This included urban planning authorities, housing agencies, environmental bodies, and departments overseeing infrastructure development.

### Local Governments:

Municipalities and local governments, being at the forefront of urban governance, actively contributed to the policy formulation. Their insights and experiences were essential in tailoring the framework to the unique challenges faced by different urban contexts.

### Academic and Research Institutions:

Input from academic and research institutions provided a knowledge-driven foundation for the policy. Research findings, best practices, and innovative urban solutions were considered to ensure that the framework was informed by a robust understanding of urban dynamics.

### Civil Society and Community Representatives:

Recognizing the importance of community perspectives, the policy development process engaged with civil society organizations and community representatives. This inclusion ensured that the framework reflected the needs and aspirations of the diverse urban population.

### Overarching Goals:

The National Urban Policy Framework articulated a set of overarching goals that guided its development and implementation:

#### Sustainable Urban Development:

A central goal was to foster sustainable urban development that balances economic growth with environmental conservation, ensuring that cities are resilient and capable of meeting the needs of current and future generations.

#### Social Inclusion and Equity:

The framework aimed to create inclusive urban spaces by addressing social disparities, ensuring that all residents, regardless of socio-economic background, have equitable access to services, opportunities, and quality of life.

#### Efficient Infrastructure and Services:

Enhancing the efficiency and capacity of urban infrastructure and services was a priority. This involved strategic planning to accommodate population growth, improve transportation networks, and provide essential services like water supply, sanitation, and healthcare.

#### Good Urban Governance:

The policy underscored the importance of good urban governance, emphasizing transparency, accountability, and citizen participation in decision-making processes. Strengthening local governance structures was identified as essential for effective and responsive urban management.

#### Resilience to Climate Change:

Recognizing the challenges posed by climate change, the framework aimed to integrate climate-resilient strategies into urban planning. This involved measures such as sustainable land use, green infrastructure, and disaster preparedness to mitigate the impact of climate-related risks.

#### ***Objectives and Scope:***

Examine the stated objectives of the framework and the specific areas or themes it aims to address. Understand the scope of the policy and whether it adequately encompasses the multifaceted nature of urban development.

## Findings and Discussion

### Urban Planning and Design:

The National Urban Policy Framework exhibits a robust focus on urban planning and design. It encourages the creation of sustainable, inclusive, and resilient urban environments through guidelines that prioritize smart growth, mixed-use development, and efficient land use. By emphasizing the importance of green spaces, pedestrian-friendly infrastructure, and transit-oriented development, the framework strives to enhance the overall livability of urban areas.

### Infrastructure Development:

#### Evaluation:

The policy outlines comprehensive strategies for infrastructure development, addressing key sectors such as water supply, sanitation, transportation, and housing. It promotes the use of innovative technologies and sustainable practices in infrastructure projects, aiming to enhance the efficiency and accessibility of essential services. The inclusion of affordable housing initiatives reflects a commitment to addressing the diverse housing needs of urban populations.

### Social Inclusion and Equity:

#### Evaluation:

A noteworthy aspect of the National Urban Policy Framework is its explicit attention to social inclusion and equity. The framework acknowledges and aims to mitigate disparities in access to resources and opportunities among different population groups. By incorporating measures that prioritize affordable housing, community development, and inclusive urban planning, the policy strives to create cities that cater to the diverse needs of their residents.

### Environmental Sustainability:

#### Evaluation:

The policy places a strong emphasis on environmental sustainability, recognizing the urgent need to address climate change and reduce environmental degradation in urban areas. It incorporates guidelines for sustainable urban development, green building practices, and the creation of green spaces. The inclusion of climate-resilient strategies in urban planning underscores the framework's commitment to mitigating environmental risks associated with urbanization.

### Governance and Stakeholder Engagement:

#### Evaluation:

The governance structure outlined in the National Urban Policy Framework emphasizes collaboration among various stakeholders. It encourages participatory decision-making processes that involve government agencies, local communities, and the private sector. By fostering partnerships and engaging

citizens in the planning and implementation phases, the framework aims to create a governance model that is responsive, transparent, and accountable.

### Implementation Mechanisms:

#### Analysis:

The policy outlines clear mechanisms for the effective implementation of its goals. It includes provisions for resource allocation, detailing funding sources and budget allocations for key initiatives. Coordination among relevant authorities is emphasized, with inter-agency collaboration frameworks in place to ensure a cohesive and streamlined approach to urban development. The timeline for achieving key milestones is specified, providing a roadmap for the phased implementation of the policy.

### Monitoring and Evaluation:

#### Evaluation:

The National Urban Policy Framework demonstrates a commitment to monitoring and evaluating its impact. It includes provisions for the establishment of clear metrics and indicators to measure progress and success. Regular assessments and reviews are incorporated into the policy, allowing for adjustments and refinements based on real-time feedback. This commitment to continuous monitoring ensures that the policy remains adaptive and responsive to evolving urban challenges.

### *Challenges and Criticisms:*

While the National Urban Policy Framework has received commendation for its comprehensive approach, challenges and criticisms have been raised. Some stakeholders have expressed concerns regarding the feasibility of implementation, particularly in the context of financial constraints and administrative capacities at the local level. Additionally, critiques have surfaced regarding the need for greater specificity in addressing certain urban challenges, such as informal settlements and the displacement of vulnerable populations.

Table: Analysis of National Urban Policy Framework 2018

Aspect	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations
<b>Comprehensive Approach</b>	- The framework addresses various aspects of urban development, including planning, infrastructure, social inclusion, and sustainability.	- Feasibility challenges have been raised regarding the implementation of such a comprehensive approach, especially at the local level.	- Invest in capacity-building initiatives at the local level to enhance the feasibility of implementation.

<b><i>Inclusivity and Equity</i></b>	- Acknowledges and actively addresses disparities in access to resources. - Prioritizes affordable housing and inclusive urban planning.	- Critics suggest the need for greater specificity in addressing nuanced challenges like informal settlements and vulnerable populations.	- Refine the policy to provide more detailed strategies for addressing specific urban challenges. Tailor interventions to the unique characteristics of different urban contexts.
<b><i>Environmental Sustainability</i></b>	- Emphasizes guidelines for sustainable urban development and climate-resilient strategies.	- None identified.	- Continuously review and update metrics and indicators for monitoring environmental impact.
<b><i>Governance and Stakeholder Engagement</i></b>	- Encourages collaboration among stakeholders, promoting transparency and accountability. - Engages government agencies, local communities, and the private sector in decision-making processes.	- None identified.	- Strengthen mechanisms for community participation in decision-making processes. - Explore opportunities for expanding public-private partnerships to enhance efficiency and effectiveness.
<b><i>Implementation Mechanisms</i></b>	- Clear mechanisms for resource allocation, inter-agency coordination, and specified timelines.	- Concerns regarding the feasibility of implementation, especially in the face of financial constraints and administrative capacities at the local level.	- Invest in capacity-building initiatives at the local level. - Continuously review and update metrics and indicators to ensure effective implementation.
<b><i>Monitoring and Evaluation</i></b>	- Provisions for regular monitoring and evaluation, ensuring adaptability based on real-time feedback.	- None identified.	- Enhance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms by continuously reviewing and updating metrics and indicators.

Source: compiled by author, (2018)

This tabular format provides a concise overview of the strengths, weaknesses, and recommendations associated with different aspects of the National Urban Policy Framework 2018.

The mentioned literature provides a comprehensive overview of diverse aspects related to urban development, policy frameworks, and governance in India. Agarwal and Sharma (2014) emphasize the importance of Universal Design in ensuring an equitable society, shedding light on the critical role design plays in fostering inclusivity. Bhatnagar et al. (2018) analyze India's Smart Cities Mission and its contribution to Sustainable Development Goal 11 and the New Urban Agenda. Their work delves into the implications and effectiveness of this mission in achieving sustainable urban development.

Biswas and Maurya's (2018) contribution to the "Handbook of research on urban governance and management in the developing world" explores the governance and institutional framework for smart cities in India, providing insights into the complexities of managing urban spaces. Dehalwar and Singh (2016) focus on the challenges and strategies for improving water management in Bhopal, addressing a critical aspect of urban development—sustainable water resource management. May et al. (2017) discuss appropriate national policy frameworks for sustainable urban mobility plans, emphasizing the need for comprehensive policies that address the evolving dynamics of urban transportation.

Kundu et al. (2018) present national urban policies and city profiles for Delhi and Madurai, providing a localized perspective on urban development strategies in India. Narender and Sethi (2018) contribute insights on mainstreaming co-benefits in urban policy, governance, and finance, highlighting the importance of aligning urban policies with climate co-benefits in Indian cities. Robin and Acuto (2018) explore the geopolitics of urban data in the context of global urban policy, shedding light on the significance of data-driven decision-making in contemporary urban governance. Schindler et al. (2018) discuss national urban policy-making and its potential for sustainable urbanism, addressing the critical role policy formulation plays in shaping sustainable urban development.

Sengupta et al. (2018) analyze key shifts in national housing policy in India and Brazil, offering comparative insights into the evolving approaches to housing policy and its implications for urban development. Sharma (2014) provides insights into urban forms in planning and design, emphasizing the significance of thoughtful urban design in shaping the physical aspects of cities. Singh and Rahman (2018) reflect on India's National Rurban Mission, examining the challenges and opportunities associated with urbanizing rural areas, a unique aspect of India's urbanization efforts.

Together, these works contribute valuable perspectives on various dimensions of urban development, ranging from design and governance to policy frameworks and the challenges of sustainable urbanism in the Indian context.

## **Conclusions**

In conclusion, the National Urban Policy Framework of 2018 was a proactive response to the evolving dynamics of urbanization, guided by the imperative to create sustainable, inclusive, and resilient urban environments. The collaboration among diverse stakeholders underscored the government's commitment to addressing the complexities of urban development and fostering a future where cities serve as vibrant centers of opportunity for all. In conclusion, the National Urban Policy Framework 2018 exhibits a comprehensive and ambitious approach to urban development, addressing key components such as planning, infrastructure, social inclusion, sustainability, governance, and implementation. While the policy sets a strong foundation, ongoing attention to challenges and criticisms will be essential for refining and optimizing its impact on the evolving urban landscape.

**References**

- Agarwal, S., & Sharma, S. N. (2014). Universal Design to Ensure Equitable Society. *International Journal of Engineering and Technical Research (IJETR)*, 2 (1).
- Bhatnagar, A., Nanda, T. P., Singh, S., Upadhyay, K., Sawhney, A., & Swamy, D. R. R. (2018). Analysing the role of India's smart cities mission in achieving sustainable development goal 11 and the new urban agenda. *Sustainable Development Research in the Asia-Pacific Region: Education, Cities, Infrastructure and Buildings*, 275-292.
- Biswas, A., & Maurya, K. K. (2018). Governance and institutional framework for smart cities in India. In *Handbook of research on urban governance and management in the developing world* (pp. 50-83). IGI Global.
- Dehalwar, K., & Singh, J. (2016). Challenges and strategies for the improvement of water management in Bhopal. *European Scientific Journal*, 12(2).
- May, A., Boehler-Baedeker, S., Delgado, L., Durlin, T., Enache, M., & van der Pas, J. W. (2017). Appropriate national policy frameworks for sustainable urban mobility plans. *European transport research review*, 9(1), 1-16.
- Kundu, D., Pandey, A., Sharma, P., Bhusan, S., Mondal, B., Lahiri, B., ... & Vijh, S. (2018). India: National urban policies and city profiles for Delhi and Madurai. *GCRF SHLC*.
- Narender, A., & Sethi, M. (2018). Mainstreaming co-benefits in urban policy, governance and finance. *Mainstreaming climate co-benefits in Indian cities: Post-habitat III innovations and reforms*, 341-373.
- Robin, E., & Acuto, M. (2018). Global urban policy and the geopolitics of urban data. *Political Geography*, 66, 76-87.
- Schindler, S., Mitlin, D., & Marvin, S. (2018). National urban policy making and its potential for sustainable urbanism. *Current opinion in environmental sustainability*, 34, 48-53.
- Sengupta, U., Murtagh, B., D'Ottaviano, C., & Pasternak, S. (2018). Between enabling and provider approach: Key shifts in the national housing policy in India and Brazil. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*, 36(5), 856-876.
- Sharma, S. N. (2014). Urban forms in planning and design. *International Journal of Research*, 1(1), 7-16.
- Singh, C., & Rahman, A. (2018). Urbanising the rural: reflections on India's National Rurban Mission. *Asia & the Pacific Policy Studies*, 5(2), 370-377.